

**Eigen Methods**  
**Math 246, Spring 2008, Professor David Levermore**

**Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors.** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a real  $n \times n$  matrix. Recall that a number  $\lambda$  (possibly complex) is an *eigenvalue* of  $\mathbf{A}$  if there exists a nonzero vector  $\mathbf{v}$  (possibly complex) such that

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}.$$

Each such vector is an *eigenvector* associated with  $\lambda$ , and  $(\lambda, \mathbf{v})$  is an *eigenpair* of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

**Fact 1:** If  $(\lambda, \mathbf{v})$  is an eigenpair of  $\mathbf{A}$  then so is  $(\lambda, \alpha\mathbf{v})$  for every complex  $\alpha \neq 0$ . In other words, if  $\mathbf{v}$  is an eigenvector associated with an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  then so is  $\alpha\mathbf{v}$  for every complex  $\alpha \neq 0$ . In particular, eigenvectors are not unique.

**Reason.** Because  $(\lambda, \mathbf{v})$  is an eigenpair of  $\mathbf{A}$  you know that (1) holds. It follows that

$$\mathbf{A}(\alpha\mathbf{v}) = \alpha\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \alpha\lambda\mathbf{v} = \lambda(\alpha\mathbf{v}).$$

Because the scalar  $\alpha$  and vector  $\mathbf{v}$  are nonzero, the vector  $\alpha\mathbf{v}$  is also nonzero. Therefore  $(\lambda, \alpha\mathbf{v})$  is also an eigenpair of  $\mathbf{A}$ . □

Recall that the characteristic polynomial of  $\mathbf{A}$  is defined by

$$(2) \quad p_{\mathbf{A}}(z) = \det(z\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}).$$

It has the form

$$p_{\mathbf{A}}(z) = z^n + \pi_1 z^{n-1} + \pi_2 z^{n-2} + \cdots + \pi_{n-1} z + \pi_n,$$

where the coefficients  $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_n$  are real. In other words, it is a real monic polynomial of degree  $n$ . One can show that in general

$$\pi_1 = -\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}), \quad \pi_n = (-1)^n \det(\mathbf{A}).$$

In particular, when  $n = 2$  one has

$$p_{\mathbf{A}}(z) = z^2 - \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A})z + \det(\mathbf{A}).$$

Because  $\det(z\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = (-1)^n \det(\mathbf{A} - z\mathbf{I})$ , this definition of  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(z)$  coincides with the book's definition when  $n$  is even, and is its negative when  $n$  is odd. Both conventions are common. We have chosen the convention that makes  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(z)$  monic. What matters most about  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(z)$  is its roots and their multiplicity, which are the same for both conventions.

**Fact 2:** A number  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}$  if and only if  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = 0$ . In other words, the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$  are the roots of  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(z)$ .

**Reason.** If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}$  then by (1) there exists a nonzero vector  $\mathbf{v}$  such that

$$(\lambda\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = 0.$$

It follows that  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = \det(\lambda\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = 0$ .

Conversely, if  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) = \det(\lambda\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}) = 0$  then there exists a nonzero vector  $\mathbf{v}$  such that  $(\lambda\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{v} = 0$ . It follows that

$$\lambda\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = (\lambda\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})\mathbf{v} = 0,$$

whereby  $\lambda$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  satisfy (1), which implies  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}$ . □

Fact 2 shows that the eigenvalues of a  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  can be found if you can find all the roots of the characteristic polynomial of  $\mathbf{A}$ . You can then find all the eigenvectors associated with each eigenvalue by finding a general nonzero solution of (1).

You can quickly find the eigenvectors for any  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  with help from the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem, which states that  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}) = 0$ . The eigenvalues  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are the roots of  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(z)$ , so  $p_{\mathbf{A}}(z) = (z - \lambda_1)(z - \lambda_2)$ . Hence, by the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem

$$(3) \quad 0 = p_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}) = (\mathbf{A} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I})(\mathbf{A} - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I}) = (\mathbf{A} - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I})(\mathbf{A} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}).$$

It follows that every nonzero column of  $\mathbf{A} - \lambda_2 \mathbf{I}$  is an eigenvector associated with  $\lambda_1$ , and that every nonzero column of  $\mathbf{A} - \lambda_1 \mathbf{I}$  is an eigenvector associated with  $\lambda_2$ .

**Example.** Find the eigenpairs of  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Solution.** The characteristic polynomial of  $\mathbf{A}$  is

$$p_{\mathbf{A}}(z) = z^2 - 6z + 5 = (z - 1)(z - 5).$$

By Fact 2 the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$  are 1 and 5. Because

$$\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A} - 5\mathbf{I} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix},$$

Every column of  $\mathbf{A} - 5\mathbf{I}$  has the form

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for some } \alpha \neq 0,$$

while every column of  $\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I}$  has the form

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for some } \alpha \neq 0.$$

It follows from (3) that the eigenpairs of  $\mathbf{A}$  are

$$\left( 1, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad \left( 5, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

**Example.** Find the eigenpairs of  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Solution.** The characteristic polynomial of  $\mathbf{A}$  is

$$p_{\mathbf{A}}(z) = z^2 - 6z + 13 = (z - 3)^2 + 4 = (z - 3)^2 + 2^2.$$

By Fact 2 the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$  are  $3 + i2$  and  $3 - i2$ . Because

$$\mathbf{A} - (3 + i2)\mathbf{I} = \begin{pmatrix} -i2 & 2 \\ -2 & -i2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A} - (3 - i2)\mathbf{I} = \begin{pmatrix} i2 & 2 \\ -2 & i2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Every column of  $\mathbf{A} - (3 - i2)\mathbf{I}$  has the form

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for some } \alpha \neq 0,$$

while every column of  $\mathbf{A} - (3 + i2)\mathbf{I}$  has the form

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for some } \alpha \neq 0.$$

It follows from (3) that the eigenpairs of  $\mathbf{A}$  are

$$\left( 3 + i2, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad \left( 3 - i2, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Notice that in the above example the eigenvectors associated with  $3 - i2$  are complex conjugates to those associated with  $3 + i2$ . This illustrates a particular instance of the following general fact.

**Fact 3:** If  $(\lambda, \mathbf{v})$  is an eigenpair of the real matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  then so is  $(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mathbf{v}})$ .

**Reason.** Because  $(\lambda, \mathbf{v})$  is an eigenpair of  $\mathbf{A}$  you know by (1) that  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$ . Because  $\mathbf{A}$  is real, the complex conjugate of this equation is

$$\mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{v}} = \bar{\lambda}\bar{\mathbf{v}},$$

where  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$  is nonzero because  $\mathbf{v}$  is nonzero. It follows that  $(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mathbf{v}})$  is an eigenpair of  $\mathbf{A}$ .  $\square$

Both examples given above illustrate particular instances of the following general facts.

**Fact 4:** Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of the real matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ . If  $\lambda$  is real then it has a real eigenvector. If  $\lambda$  is not real then none of its eigenvectors are real.

**Reason.** Let  $\mathbf{v}$  be any eigenvector associated with  $\lambda$ , so that  $(\lambda, \mathbf{v})$  is an eigenpair of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Let  $\lambda = \mu + i\nu$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{w}$  where  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are real numbers and  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  are real vectors. One then has

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{A}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} = (\mu + i\nu)(\mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{w}) = (\mu\mathbf{u} - \nu\mathbf{w}) + i(\mu\mathbf{w} + \nu\mathbf{u}),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} - \mu\mathbf{u} = -\nu\mathbf{w}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{w} - \mu\mathbf{w} = \nu\mathbf{u}.$$

If  $\nu = 0$  then  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  will be real eigenvectors associated with  $\lambda$  whenever they are nonzero. But at least one of  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  must be nonzero because  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{w}$  is nonzero. Conversely, if  $\nu \neq 0$  and  $\mathbf{w} = 0$  then the second equation above implies  $\mathbf{u} = 0$  too, which contradicts the fact that at least one of  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  must be nonzero. Hence, if  $\nu \neq 0$  then  $\mathbf{w} \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**Solutions of First-Order Systems.** We are now ready to use eigenvalues and eigenvectors to construct solutions of first-order differential systems with a constant coefficient matrix. The system we study is

$$(4) \quad \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x},$$

where  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  is a vector and  $\mathbf{A}$  is a real  $n \times n$  matrix. We begin with the following basic fact.

**Fact 5:** If  $(\lambda, \mathbf{v})$  is an eigenpair of  $\mathbf{A}$  then a solution of (4) is

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{x}(t) = e^{\lambda t}\mathbf{v}.$$

**Reason.** By direct calculation we see that

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt}(e^{\lambda t}\mathbf{v}) = e^{\lambda t}\lambda\mathbf{v} = e^{\lambda t}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{A}(e^{\lambda t}\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x},$$

whereby  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  given by (5) solves (4).  $\square$

If  $(\lambda, \mathbf{v})$  is a real eigenpair of  $\mathbf{A}$  then recipe (5) will yield a real solution of (4). But if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}$  that is not real then recipe (5) will not yield a real solution. However, if we also use the solution associated with the conjugate eigenpair  $(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mathbf{v}})$  then we can construct two real solutions.

**Fact 6:** Let  $(\lambda, \mathbf{v})$  be an eigenpair of  $\mathbf{A}$  with  $\lambda = \mu + i\nu$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{w}$  where  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are real numbers while  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  are real vectors. Then two real solutions of (4) are

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_1(t) &= \operatorname{Re}(e^{\lambda t} \mathbf{v}) = e^{\mu t} (\mathbf{u} \cos(\nu t) - \mathbf{w} \sin(\nu t)), \\ \mathbf{x}_2(t) &= \operatorname{Im}(e^{\lambda t} \mathbf{v}) = e^{\mu t} (\mathbf{w} \cos(\nu t) + \mathbf{u} \sin(\nu t)). \end{aligned}$$

**Reason.** Because  $(\lambda, \mathbf{v})$  is an eigenpair of  $\mathbf{A}$ , by Fact 3 so is  $(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mathbf{v}})$ . By recipe (5) two solutions of (4) are  $e^{\lambda t} \mathbf{v}$  and  $e^{\bar{\lambda} t} \bar{\mathbf{v}}$ , which are complex conjugates of each other. Because equation (4) is linear, it follows that two real solutions of (4) are given by

$$\mathbf{x}_1(t) = \operatorname{Re}(e^{\lambda t} \mathbf{v}) = \frac{e^{\lambda t} \mathbf{v} + e^{\bar{\lambda} t} \bar{\mathbf{v}}}{2}, \quad \mathbf{x}_2(t) = \operatorname{Im}(e^{\lambda t} \mathbf{v}) = \frac{e^{\lambda t} \mathbf{v} - e^{\bar{\lambda} t} \bar{\mathbf{v}}}{i2}.$$

Because  $\lambda = \mu + i\nu$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{w}$  we see that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\lambda t} \mathbf{v} &= e^{\mu t} (\cos(\nu t) + i \sin(\nu t)) (\mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{w}) \\ &= e^{\mu t} [(\mathbf{u} \cos(\nu t) - \mathbf{w} \sin(\nu t)) + i(\mathbf{w} \cos(\nu t) + \mathbf{u} \sin(\nu t))], \end{aligned}$$

whereby  $\mathbf{x}_1(t)$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2(t)$  are read off from the real and imaginary parts, yielding (6).  $\square$

**Example.** Find two linearly independent real solutions of

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Solution.** By a previous example we know that  $\mathbf{A}$  has the real eigenpairs

$$\left(1, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}\right), \quad \left(5, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

By recipe (5) the equation has the real solutions

$$\mathbf{x}_1(t) = e^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_2(t) = e^{5t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These solutions are linearly independent because

$$W[\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2](0) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 2 \neq 0.$$

**Example.** Find two linearly independent real solutions of

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Solution.** By a previous example we know that  $\mathbf{A}$  has the conjugate eigenpairs

$$\left(3 + i2, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix}\right), \quad \left(3 - i2, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Because

$$e^{(3+i2)t} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} = e^{3t} (\cos(2t) + i \sin(2t)) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} = e^{3t} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(2t) + i \sin(2t) \\ -\sin(2t) + i \cos(2t) \end{pmatrix},$$

by recipe (6) the equation has the real solutions

$$\mathbf{x}_1(t) = e^{3t} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(2t) \\ -\sin(2t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_2(t) = e^{3t} \begin{pmatrix} \sin(2t) \\ \cos(2t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

These solutions are linearly independent because

$$W[\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2](0) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1 \neq 0.$$

**Matrix Exponentials.** If recipe (5) yields  $n$  linearly independent solutions of the first-order system (4) then they can be used to construct the matrix exponential  $e^{t\mathbf{A}}$ . The key to this construction is the following fact from linear algebra.

**Fact 7:** If a real  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  has  $n$  eigenpairs,  $(\lambda_1, \mathbf{v}_1), (\lambda_2, \mathbf{v}_2), \dots, (\lambda_n, \mathbf{v}_n)$ , such that the eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  are linearly independent then

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}^{-1} \quad ,$$

where  $\mathbf{V}$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix whose columns are the vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  — i.e.

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{V} = (\mathbf{v}_1 \quad \mathbf{v}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{v}_n) \quad ,$$

while  $\mathbf{D}$  is the  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix

$$(9) \quad \mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} .$$

**Reason.** Underlying this result is the fact that

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{V} &= \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{v}_1 \quad \mathbf{v}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{v}_n) = (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_1 \quad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_n) \\ &= (\lambda_1\mathbf{v}_1 \quad \lambda_2\mathbf{v}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \lambda_n\mathbf{v}_n) \\ &= (\mathbf{v}_1 \quad \mathbf{v}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{v}_n) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{D} . \end{aligned}$$

Once we show that  $\mathbf{V}$  is invertible then (7) follows upon multiplying the above relation on the left by  $\mathbf{V}^{-1}$ .

We claim that  $\det(\mathbf{V}) \neq 0$  because the vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  are linearly independent. Suppose otherwise. Because  $\det(\mathbf{V}) = 0$  there exists a nonzero vector  $\mathbf{c}$  such that  $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{0}$ . This means that

$$0 = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{c} = (\mathbf{v}_1 \quad \mathbf{v}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \mathbf{v}_n) \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n .$$

Because vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  are linearly independent, this implies  $c_1 = c_2 = \cdots = c_n = 0$ , which contradicts the fact  $\mathbf{c}$  is nonzero. Therefore  $\det(\mathbf{V}) \neq 0$ . Hence, the matrix  $\mathbf{V}$  is invertible and (7) follows upon multiplying relation (10) on the left by  $\mathbf{V}^{-1}$ .  $\square$

We call a real  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  *diagonalizable* when there exists an invertible matrix  $\mathbf{V}$  and a diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{D}$  such that  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}^{-1}$ . To *diagonalize*  $\mathbf{A}$  means to find such a  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$ . Fact 7 states that  $\mathbf{A}$  is diagonalizable when it has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors. The converse of this statement is also true.

**Fact 8:** If a real  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is diagonalizable then it has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors.

**Reason.** Because  $\mathbf{A}$  is diagonalizable it has the form  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{VDV}^{-1}$  where the matrix  $\mathbf{V}$  is invertible and the matrix  $\mathbf{D}$  is diagonal.

Let the vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  be the columns of  $\mathbf{V}$ . We claim these vectors are linearly independent. Indeed, if  $0 = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n$  then because  $\mathbf{V} = (\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{v}_n)$  we see that

$$0 = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n = (\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{v}_n) \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{c}.$$

Because  $\mathbf{V}$  is invertible, this implies that  $\mathbf{c} = 0$ . The vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  are therefore linearly independent.

Because  $\mathbf{V} = (\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{v}_n)$  and because  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{VDV}^{-1}$  where  $\mathbf{D}$  has the form (9), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_n) &= \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{v}_n) \\ &= \mathbf{AV} = \mathbf{VDV}^{-1}\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{VD} \\ &= (\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{v}_n) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (\lambda_1\mathbf{v}_1 \ \lambda_2\mathbf{v}_2 \ \dots \ \lambda_n\mathbf{v}_n). \end{aligned}$$

Because the vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  are linearly independent, they are all nonzero. It then follows from the above relation that  $(\lambda_1, \mathbf{v}_1), (\lambda_2, \mathbf{v}_2), \dots, (\lambda_n, \mathbf{v}_n)$  are eigenpairs of  $\mathbf{A}$ , such that the eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  are linearly independent.  $\square$

**Example.** Show that  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$  is diagonalizable, and diagonalize it.

**Solution.** By a previous example we know that  $\mathbf{A}$  has the real eigenpairs

$$\left(1, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}\right), \quad \left(5, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Because we also know the eigenvectors are linearly independent,  $\mathbf{A}$  is diagonalizable. Then (8) and (9) yield

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because  $\det(\mathbf{V}) = 2$ , one has

$$\mathbf{V}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows from (7) that  $\mathbf{A}$  is diagonalized as

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{VDV}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We are now ready to give a construction of the matrix exponential  $e^{t\mathbf{A}}$ .

**Fact 9:** If the real  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  has  $n$  eigenpairs,  $(\lambda_1, \mathbf{v}_1), (\lambda_2, \mathbf{v}_2), \dots, (\lambda_n, \mathbf{v}_n)$ , such that the vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  are linearly independent then

$$(11) \quad e^{t\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{V}e^{t\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{V}^{-1},$$

where  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  are the  $n \times n$  matrices given by (8) and (9).

**Reason.** Set  $\Phi(t) = \mathbf{V}e^{t\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{V}^{-1}$ . It then follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Phi(t) = \frac{d}{dt}(\mathbf{V}e^{t\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{V}^{-1}) = \mathbf{V}\frac{d}{dt}e^{t\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{V}^{-1} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}e^{t\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{V}^{-1} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{V}e^{t\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{V}^{-1} = \mathbf{A}\Phi(t),$$

whereby the matrix-valued function  $\Phi(t)$  satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Phi(t) = \mathbf{A}\Phi(t).$$

Moreover, because  $e^{0\mathbf{D}} = \mathbf{I}$  we see that  $\Phi(t)$  also satisfies the initial condition

$$\Phi(0) = \mathbf{V}e^{0\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{V}^{-1} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{V}^{-1} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^{-1} = \mathbf{I}.$$

It follows that  $\Phi(t) = e^{t\mathbf{A}}$ , whereby (11) follows.  $\square$

Formula (11) is the book's method for computing  $e^{t\mathbf{A}}$  when  $\mathbf{A}$  is diagonalizable. Because not every matrix is diagonalizable, it cannot always be applied. When it can be applied, most of the work needed to apply it goes into computing  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{V}^{-1}$ . The matrix  $e^{t\mathbf{D}}$  is simply given by

$$(12) \quad e^{t\mathbf{D}} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 t} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\lambda_2 t} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & e^{\lambda_n t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Once you have  $\mathbf{V}$ ,  $\mathbf{V}^{-1}$ , and  $e^{t\mathbf{D}}$ , formula (11) requires two matrix multiplications.

**Example.** Compute  $e^{t\mathbf{A}}$  for  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Solution.** By a previous example we know that  $\mathbf{A}$  has the real eigenpairs

$$\left(1, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}\right), \quad \left(5, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right),$$

and that  $\mathbf{A}$  is diagonalizable. By (8) and (9) we also know that

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{V}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By formulas (11) and (12) we therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{t\mathbf{A}} &= \mathbf{V}e^{t\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{V}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{5t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^t & -e^t \\ e^{5t} & e^{5t} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} e^{5t} + e^t & e^{5t} - e^t \\ e^{5t} - e^t & e^{5t} + e^t \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

**Example.** Compute  $e^{t\mathbf{A}}$  for  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Solution.** By a previous example we know that  $\mathbf{A}$  has the conjugate eigenpairs

$$\left(3 + i2, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix}\right), \quad \left(3 - i2, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

By (8) and (9) we know that

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 + i2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 - i2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because  $\det(\mathbf{V}) = -i2$ , we have

$$\mathbf{V}^{-1} = \frac{1}{-i2} \begin{pmatrix} -i & -1 \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix}.$$

By formula (12) we have

$$e^{t\mathbf{D}} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{(3+i2)t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{(3-i2)t} \end{pmatrix} = e^{3t} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i2t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i2t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By formula (11) we therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{t\mathbf{A}} &= \mathbf{V}e^{t\mathbf{D}}\mathbf{V}^{-1} = \frac{e^{3t}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & -i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i2t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i2t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{e^{3t}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & -i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i2t} & -ie^{i2t} \\ e^{-i2t} & ie^{-i2t} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{e^{3t}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i2t} + e^{-i2t} & -ie^{i2t} + ie^{-i2t} \\ ie^{i2t} - ie^{-i2t} & e^{i2t} + e^{-i2t} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{e^{3t}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2\cos(2t) & 2\sin(2t) \\ -2\sin(2t) & 2\cos(2t) \end{pmatrix} = e^{3t} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(2t) & \sin(2t) \\ -\sin(2t) & \cos(2t) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** Because  $\mathbf{A}$  is real,  $e^{t\mathbf{A}}$  must be real. As the above example illustrates, the matrices  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  may not be real, but will always combine in formula (11) to yield the real result.

**Remark.** While not every matrix is diagonalizable, most matrices are. Here we give four criteria that insure a real  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is diagonalizable.

- If  $\mathbf{A}$  has  $n$  distinct eigenvalues then it is diagonalizable.
- If  $\mathbf{A}$  is symmetric ( $\mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{A}$ ) then its eigenvalues are real ( $\overline{\lambda} = \lambda$ ), and it will have  $n$  real eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v}_j$  that can be normalized so that  $\mathbf{v}_j^T \mathbf{v}_k = \delta_{jk}$ . With this normalization  $\mathbf{V}^{-1} = \mathbf{V}^T$ .
- If  $\mathbf{A}$  is skew-symmetric ( $\mathbf{A}^T = -\mathbf{A}$ ) then its eigenvalues are imaginary ( $\overline{\lambda}_j = -\lambda_j$ ), and it will have  $n$  eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v}_j$  that can be normalized so that  $\mathbf{v}_j^* \mathbf{v}_k = \delta_{jk}$ . With this normalization  $\mathbf{V}^{-1} = \mathbf{V}^*$ .
- If  $\mathbf{A}$  is normal ( $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T$ ) then it will have  $n$  eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v}_j$  that can be normalized so that  $\mathbf{v}_j^* \mathbf{v}_k = \delta_{jk}$ . With this normalization  $\mathbf{V}^{-1} = \mathbf{V}^*$ .

If  $\mathbf{A}$  is either symmetric or skew-symmetric then it is normal. Both of the examples we have worked have distinct eigenvalues. The first example is symmetric. The second is normal.